AN APPROACH FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF A WORN BRASS BUSHING IN A MECHANICAL CLOCK

Introduction

As the clock is prepared for disassembly, it is inspected for signs of wear and other repair needs. Some bushings are visibly worn, as they are no longer round. The pivot can be moved from side to side. The oil sink shows that the bushing is worn to one side. The oil sink also has a black paste that is a mixture of old oil and brass powder. These easily-seen clues provide a diagnosis, albeit unchallenging, that the bushing is indeed worn and needs replacement. The apprentice clock repairer can successfully diagnose such bushings.

Of considerably greater challenge is the diagnosis of the bushing that seems to be in good condition in every visible way. This bushing looks round under inspection. The pivot has equal side-shake in all directions. There is virtually no black oil paste, but rather a light green jelly, (deteriorated oil, but without brass powder), or no oil. These bushings can easily be misdiagnosed, risking a come back.
Compare the clean-looking bushing with these very obvious examples. Few bushings show the conditions described above as obviously as these. The first bushing has a lot of "green jelly" that could cause drag.

The second bushing has a large amount of black oil paste.
This is the second bushing, after removing the black oil paste, to reveal the obvious wear to one side.
When the lubricant has evaporated, a black powder may be visible, instead of the black paste. The black powder is primarily copper (II) and zinc (II) oxides. Below is a photo of an unusually large amount of black powder from the great wheel chime bushing in the back plate of a modern Herschede 9 tubular bell grandfather clock (circa 1980). Click on the photo to view enlargement.

The rough-bushing test.

This test is simple. Let the mainspring power down to zero. With a pair of tweezers, hold the gear arbor near the pivot to be tested and rub the pivot in its bushing inwards and outwards, (as if to check end-shake), on the side of the bushing in the direction of force that the preceding gear applies to the gear in question. Repeat on the other side of the bushing. If the side in the direction of force feels rougher than the other side, the bushing may not seem to be visibly worn, but it is scored.

A steel pivot is harder than a brass bushing. If a particle of steel or a foreign abrasive contaminant becomes embedded in the bushing, that particle is capable of scratching the pivot surface. The resulting rough pivot then scratches the bushing area surrounding the particle. The process may just be beginning and the bushing may appear to be in good condition. However, the two rough surfaces can cause an occasional binding effect. If this happens in the bushing of the second wheel, the force overcomes the mild binding effect, and the wear continues. If this happens in the bushing of the fourth or fifth wheel, it can cause the clock to stop at random, or it can cause random chime or strike problems.

This happens more frequently in clocks with heavier parts. Consider a large Herschede grandfather clock. Its escape wheel is of greater mass than most. Its pinion is located adjacent to the pivot going into the front plate bushing, thereby exerting a much higher torque on this pivot than on the pivot at the other end of the arbor. On the other side of the front plate, there is a protruding arbor, onto which the second hand is mounted. The second hand is mounted onto a relatively heavy brass tube. So we can visualize that the weight of the escape wheel, the location of the pinion, and the weight of the second hand assembly all result in an unusually heavy load on the adjacent pivot and front plate bushing. The pivot is scored and the bushing is scored in this location in many Herschedes and other clocks of similar design.

As a second example, let us consider the French carriage clock. This clock, however, has lighter parts. The contrate gear feeds power to the perpendicular escape wheel. The pinion is located near the back plate bushing, which is covered by a cap screw, (enabling the precise adjustment of the depth of
engagement the contrate wheel has with the escape wheel pinion). This bushing is frequently rougher on one side than on the other side, but this symptom of wear is rarely visible because the bushing is so small in these clocks, of only about 0.6 mm. in diameter. If this is overlooked when the clock is cleaned, the angle of oscillation of the balance wheel may be considerably decreased below its full potential, and the clock may also stop at random.

The Broach Test.

This test requires us to visualize the behavior of the pivot in the bushing. The theoretically perfect pivot is a perfectly parallel cylindrical shaft polished to a mirror finish. The brass bushing is a parallel cylindrical hole with a mirror finish. The bushing hole should be slightly larger in diameter than the diameter of the pivot so that the pivot can rotate freely inside the bushing. Let us imagine, for example, that the preceding gear is located directly below and it rotates in a clockwise direction.

Therefore, the pivot rubs on the right side of the bushing as it rotates. The surface area of the bushing in contact with the rotating pivot is small because the diameter of the hole is larger than the diameter of the pivot. As the bushing wears, the area in contact with the pivot increases dramatically: a maximum of fifty percent of the surface area of the pivot can rub against the bushing.
However, it is not the increase in surface area that causes the increase in friction as the surfaces wear, because friction is independent of the area upon which a force is acting. The friction is dependent upon the magnitude of the force acting on the pivot, (pushing it to the right), and the yield pressure of the metals, a measure of the force the metal particle irregularities in a unit area of surface can support before being crushed down. So, all else being equal, the friction taking place in the new bushing is the same as the friction taking place in a worn bushing that has been cleaned, (contrary to most people's expectations), unless the surfaces have become rough. Now think about the foreign particle becoming embedded in the brass that scratches the pivot, as described in the rough bushing test. The rougher pivot scratches the brass. The result is further contamination of the oil in the bushing, which turns from a slick liquid to an abrasive paste of foreign particles, brass and steel powders, with a texture similar to toothpaste. In the new bushing, the hole is larger than the pivot. There is room for the paste to be pushed out of the way. In a badly worn bushing, the hole the pivot has dug for itself is now effectively the same size as the pivot diameter. The paste is thicker than the oil was because of the contaminating particles in it. Since the pivot and the worn portion of the bushing hole are the same size, the paste causes binding. Now consider the same scenario with a dry bushing, or without enough oil to form a paste. If both the pivot and hole surfaces are rough, the roughness could cause a small shift to one side, (much the same way the front tire of a bicycle shifts to one side a little when it rides over a pebble). If this shift pulls the pivot shoulder against the brass plate, a considerable increase in friction or even binding could occur. This would sometimes happen and sometimes not, making its behavior appear to be random. The broach test is also simple, once the logic behind it is understood. Put a cutting broach into the bushing hole to be tested. Turning with a FEATHER-LIGHT TOUCH, determine whether there is any binding of the broach.
If the hole is anything but perfectly round, the broach will get stuck in the hole and your feather-light grip will slip. I emphasize feather-light touch because if slightly too much force is used, the broach will cut right through the imperfection in the hole and the latter would not be noticed. Also, too much force can result in too much brass being cut, so a bushing would have to be installed. If the broach gets stuck and your fingers slip, the bushing needs to be investigated further. If the broach does not get stuck, then only a minimal amount of brass, maybe 0.02 mm. thick, would be removed. This would expose a fresh, uncontaminated layer of brass, thus serving to recondition the bushing.

When is a hole too big? Mr. De Carle said: "When the hole has worn to say 25% larger than its original size", (just where are my calipers?), "or has worn oval," (broach test !), "then it should be rebushed." Yes, this decision is clearly a judgment call. There are several variables to consider:

- the precision of the clock. e.g. Vienna regulator v. American two-spring.
- the thickness of the plates. This affects the pivot's angle of side-shake.
- the direction of force. Does the bushing wear towards the pinion of the next gear?
- the depthing of the gear with the next pinion.

Mr. De Carle suggested that the side-shake angle should be about 4° from side to side. This is a good rule of thumb. Many clock manufacturers have taken pride in drilling their bushings with such precision that there is almost no side-shake at all. I believe this is erroneous. The bushing on one side wears to one side and the clock stops long before that bushing stops it. Why? The bushing in the other plate is so tight, (no side-shake), that the other pivot binds in the other, unworn bushing. Therefore, the broach test sometimes opens a hole that is too tight to yield an appropriate side-shake angle. In addition, the broach test can open an only slightly worn bushing and still have a better result for two reasons. First, the best techniques for installing a replacement bushing in the shop are no match for the precision of the manufacturer's bushing. There is the risk of the installed bushing being off-center or not going in exactly straight. The clock repairer compensates for this by opening up the hole to yield a side-shake angle bigger than the factory had done. Failure to create adequate side-shake may seem better at first, but if the plates shift or bend for any reason, such as when power is applied to the mainsprings, the clock would have to be taken apart again. Second, the quality of the brass used in the replacement bushings is often inferior to the that of the original.

A few words of caution, however. An increase in side-shake can have other consequences to the functioning of the clock. The depthing of the gears and pinions need to be checked for possible problems: if an increase in side-shake results in the depthing being too shallow, the gear would exert a repelling
action upon the pinion, which would result in an increase in friction and wear in the bushings, and also in
unwanted friction and wear in the pinion and gear teeth. Also check the depth of engagement of the strike
gathering pallet and rack; check the action of the escape wheel and pallets; and pay close attention to the
depth of the fourth wheel with the governor pinion in the chime and strike trains. It may be necessary to
replace that bushing after all. The design of the clock may not allow the repairer to have the pivot side-
shake he wants; it may be necessary to have a tighter bushing. The ability to decide what constitutes the
appropriate repair is what makes clock repair a craft and an art.

EXCEPTION:

If the pivot is shorter than the bushing hole, it may wear inside the bushing but otherwise appear to
be in good condition because the bushing looks round. It may also pass the broach test, even if the
broach is inserted from the inside of the plate. Careful attention must be paid to bushings with short pivots
in them as they can be deceiving. When servicing a clock with short pivots, the length of the bushing must
be adjusted to that of the pivot by using a cutting wheel chamfering tool. When a short pivot wears into
the bushing, it pushes the pivot out so that the shoulder of the pivot at the other end of the arbor is
pressed against the bushing of the other plate, causing binding.

Bushings larger than 2.0 mm are harder to diagnose for wear using the broach test method because
the broach is increasingly more difficult to turn lightly, thus reducing the ability to feel for binding. If you
remove a small layer of brass and inspect the inside surface in a bright light, the worn area will be darker
than the area cut by the broach because the worn area will not have been cut. This visual inspection is
difficult to do with small bushings.

BUSHING MANIA.

There are a few clock repairers who would render the broach theory redundant by replacing every
single bushing in the clock. I would agree that the end result is similar. This approach also makes some
sense, because it only takes a few minutes to replace a friction bushing, whereas it takes considerably
longer to take the clock apart a second time. So, if there is any doubt, go ahead and replace that bushing.
However, when repairing a higher grade clock, it is preferable to keep the clock as original as possible.
This is where the broach theory would be useful.

WATCH OUT!

I have successfully used the broach technique in the repair of several 18 and 16 size American
Waltham and Elgin low grade (7j) pocket-watches to determine whether the installation of a jewel would
be necessary. It appears that, the smaller the bushing hole, the better the broach technique works.

PIVOTS:

When overhauling a clock, you know that the wear in the bushing may not always be visible, so you
use a broach to 'see' with your fingers. You might also inspect pivots with a loupe and you might feel the
pivots with your finger nails. This method is not fool-proof for diagnosing pivots because a pivot may
have a deceiving mirror finish but a small burr that might be missed by the eye or the finger nail. Mass-
produced clocks have another problem: the pivots may have very smooth surfaces in the middle, but the
outermost edge may be rough, which could cause problems. In short, the best way to deal with pivots is
to polish all of them just in case. The smooth pivots should be just touched up to be sure. It only takes a
minute, and this dramatically reduces the risk of a come-back.
Below is a typically lightly-worn pivot. This photo shows much more detail than you are likely to see with your loupe at the bench, even with a 10x loupe. It would probably look alright and also pass the finger-nail test if the clockmaker were not paying particularly close attention. This photo also shows that the unworn part of the pivot does not have a perfect mirror finish, as is typical of mass-produced clocks.

This kind of wear is obvious to the eye, even without a loupe. The cause is rust: once oxidation begins, particles of rust float in the lubricant, acting as an abrasive and causing scoring of the pivot when the rust becomes embedded in the brass bushing surface.
The pivot would have a considerably smaller diameter after polishing, so the bushing would need to be replaced with a bushing that has a smaller hole.

Pivots that are coated with an alloy (for better finish, lower friction and protection from rust) work very well until the lubricant fails.
Once the lubricant fails, if the torque acting on the pivot exceeds the yield pressure of the alloy on the pivot, then the alloy will begin to "flake off" to leave small pits in the surface, which becomes very abrasive and causes the brass bushing to wear at an accelerated rate. This problem with the alloy is very common among mass-produced German clocks made since about 1970. The failure of the alloy happens on the second wheel pivots (the gear next to the mainspring barrel), but not on other pivots that have lower levels of torque acting on them. I recommend the thickest grease with graphite and molybdenum disulphide to lubricate these bushings prior to assembly, after repairs are done. This photo shows that the pivot is likely to have once had a near-perfect mirror finish because of the alloy coating.
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